



Borderline exploitation of copyrighted works

Joost Poort ◦ Reconstructing Rights Symposium ◦ Brussel ◦ 26-9-2016

Contents

- Welfare economic analysis of copyright
- Hyperlinking & Embedding
- Digital resale
- Private copying
- Retransmission
- Data mining

Welfare economic analysis of copyright I

Normative economic stance:

- Utilitarian perspective: copyright aims to provide incentives for the creation and exploitation of works
- Optimum defined by maximum welfare (consumer + producer surplus): no preferred status for producer (author) or consumer
- Broad concept of social welfare, incorporating other norms except distribution
→ In practice: amend outcome of more narrow-minded economic analysis
- Legislative/policy intervention justified by market failure

Welfare economic analysis of copyright II

Economic rights as an incentive system for authors:

- Without intervention, the rents of creation and exploitation are insufficiently *excludable*
- Anyone can copy and distribute the work without permission once the costs of creation are made
- This reduces revenues for the creators and publishers, damages incentives and leads to a loss of welfare in the long run → the ***public good market failure***
- From a welfare economic perspective, copyright should be ***aimed at and limited to optimally resolving*** this public good market failure

Welfare economic analysis of copyright III

- However, there are also social costs of copyright:
 - Transaction costs
 - Dead weight losses (unserved demand)
 - Dynamic losses (chilling effects)

 - In practice, the need for copyright also depends on:
 - Substitutability of originals by copies
 - Cost advantage for creator to make copies
 - Opportunities for private ordering (enforceability, transaction costs)
 - Digitisation affected all three factors significantly
- Defining optimum scope of copyright often an empirical question
Optimal copyright \neq maximum copyright
 \neq copyright which maximized creative output

Welfare economic analysis of copyright IV

- Exclusive rights justified to control acts that negatively and significantly affect current or future exploitation opportunities (i.e. interfere with incentives to create or to exploit)
 - Broad concept of exploitation (including advertising, reputation building, cross-selling, even data harvesting)
 - Balancing with welfare costs of protection
- Copyright should not generate new market failures by generating more (or less) rights than one would have in an ordinary market
 - No automatic control over downstream markets or claim to value generated in such markets: no 'jealousy tax' (unless public good market failure re-emerges in downstream market)
 - Neither a ban on nor protection of price discrimination

Hyperlinking & embedding

- Economic scale (and presumed benefits) of linking & embedding enormous
- Linking to authorized sources does not affect right holder's control over availability and exploitation environment of a work → copyright irrelevant
- Embedding is different, there is still control over availability, but the work is cut loose from its exploitation environment and embedding can be a substitute to visiting the linked page
 - Most embedding probably okay → transaction costs of licensing prohibitive
 - Opt-out or technical solution?
- Linking to unauthorized sources
 - Likely to have negative effect on exploitation opportunities for right holder
 - But – except in cases of *obvious* illegality – liability without prior warning would generate large transaction costs or chilling effects
 - Notice & take down, levy system?

Digital resale

- Economic scale limited but not negligible
- Public good market failure with respect to sold copies has been resolved
 - no downstream protection needed or justified, any more than for other private goods
 - as long as some monitoring is possible to ensure resale \neq reproduction
- Digital content different because no degradation? NO:
 - Value from resale can be appropriated in initial sale
 - Commercial depreciation is enormous (+ windowing, versioning)
 - Information asymmetries & transaction costs hamper secondary market
- Private ordering may override general argument, but subject to general rules w.r.t. fair business practices and antitrust: no privilege for copyrighted works

Private copying

- Economic scale declining because of streaming, but still highly significant.
- Levies in EU at all time high of €731 in 2014
- The value of most copies can largely be *appropriated indirectly* in first sale
 - no negative & significant effect on exploitation opportunities
 - most private copying is copyright irrelevant
 - Less so for systematic time shifting combined with skipping ads
- Private copying that leads to market extension can be different, in particular sharing such copies ad infinitum over the Internet will negatively affect exploitation opportunities

Retransmission

- Retransmission of free-to-air broadcast within reception area (or in hotel!) has strong resemblance with hyperlinking
 - Live retransmission without breaking access restriction does not affect control over availability nor the exploitation environment (ads, public funding)
 - Different if combined with systematic time shifting & skipping ads
- Abolishing retransmission fees will affect the financial agreements upstream
- In case of direct injection, downstream value can be part of initial contract (private ordering)

Text & Data mining

- Rapidly gaining scientific and economic relevance
- Chilling effects of licensing/uncertainty substantial
- No retrospective negative effect on exploitation opportunities or incentive to create: originally, benefits of TDM were never incentive for authors
- No market failure to be resolved if access to mined content is acquired legally, value derived from TDM can be priced into the purchase
 - Only windfall profit / jealousy tax



Thank you for your attention
poort@uva.nl